

Series Editor: *Stefan Hohmann*

Available **online** at
SpringerLink.com

Springer

Berlin

Heidelberg

New York

Hong Kong

London

Milan

Paris

Tokyo

Joris G. Winderickx • Peter M. Taylor (Eds.)

Nutrient-Induced Responses in Eukaryotic Cells

With 48 Figures, 2 in Color; and 1 Table



Springer

Professor Dr. JORIS G. WINDERICKX
Molecular Cell Biology
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Plantkunde en Microbiologie
Kasteelpark Arenberg 31
3001 Heverlee-Leuven
Belgium

Professor Dr. PETER M. TAYLOR
Division of Molecular Physiology
School of Life Sciences
University of Dundee
MSI/WTB Complex
Dundee DD1 5EH
UK

The cover illustration depicts pseudohyphal filaments of the ascomycete *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that enable this organism to forage for nutrients. Pseudohyphal filaments were induced here in a wild-type haploid MATa Σ 1278b strain by an unknown readily diffusible factor provided by growth in confrontation with an isogenic petite yeast strain in a sealed petri dish for two weeks and photographed at 100X magnification (provided by Xuewen Pan and Joseph Heitman).

ISSN 1610-2096

ISBN 3-540-20917-4 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at <<http://dnb.ddb.de>>

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer-Verlag is a part of Springer Science + Business Media
springeronline.com

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2004
Printed in Germany

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting: Camera ready by editors
Data-conversion: PTP-Berlin, Stefan Sossna e.K.
Cover Design: Design & Production, Heidelberg
39/3150-W1 - 5 4 3 2 1 0 - Printed on acid-free paper

Table of contents

Introduction	1
Joris Winderickx and Peter M. Taylor	1
References	3
1 Transcriptional regulatory mechanisms for the response to amino acid deprivation of mammalian cells	5
Michael S. Kilberg, Can Zhong, Randall McClellan, and YuanXiang Pan	5
Abstract	5
1.1 Introduction	5
1.2 Examples of mammalian activities altered by amino acid availability....	6
1.4 Nutrient regulation of the human asparagine synthetase genes	9
1.5 Transcription factors associated with asparagine synthetase regulation.....	15
1.5.1 C/EBP family	15
1.5.2 ATF4.....	15
1.5.3 ATF3.....	16
1.6 Summary	19
References	19
Abbreviations	24
2 Nutrient sensing in animal cells and integration of nutrient and endocrine signalling pathways	25
Christopher G. Proud, Harinder S. Hundal, and Peter M. Taylor	25
Abstract	25
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2. Amino acids.....	28
2.2.1 Amino acid-induced responses in animal cells	28
2.2.2 Effects of amino acids on gene transcription	28
2.2.3 Effects of amino acids on mRNA translation	30
2.2.4 Effect of amino acids on protein breakdown	37
2.2.5 Sensing of amino acid availability in animal cells.....	38
2.3 Carbohydrate	43
2.4 Lipids.....	45
2.4.1 Fatty acid-induced responses in animal cells	45
2.4.2 Cholesterol-induced responses in animal cells	48
2.5 Integration between nutrient-sensitive and other intracellular signalling pathways in animal cells.....	48
2.5.1 Interactions between nutrient and growth factor signalling pathways	49
2.5.2 Interactions between nutrient and "stress" signalling pathways....	51
2.6 Summary and perspectives.....	53

References.....	54
3 Antagonists of the TOR pathway in animal cells.....	65
Duoja Pan	65
Abstract.....	65
3.1 Introduction.....	65
3.2 TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor proteins as antagonists of TOR signaling.....	67
3.2.1 Genetic studies of TSC1/TSC2 function in mammalian systems ..	67
3.2.2 Genetic studies of TSC1/TSC2 function in <i>Drosophila</i> suggest a functional link between TSC1/TSC2 and TOR signaling.....	68
3.2.3 Biochemical studies of Tsc1/Tsc2 function in TOR signaling using <i>Drosophila</i> S2 cells.....	68
3.2.4 Regulation of Tsc1/Tsc2 by phosphorylation	69
3.3 Small GTPase Rheb as a direct target of the tuberous sclerosis tumor suppressor proteins.....	70
3.3.1 The GAP function of TSC2 is essential for its biological activity	70
3.3.2 Small GTPase Rheb is the direct target of Tsc2 GAP activity	70
3.3.3 The molecular relationship between Tsc/Rheb and amino acid sensing	71
3.4 Concluding remarks	72
Acknowledgements	73
References.....	74
Abbreviations:.....	78
4 Nutrients as regulators of endocrine and neuroendocrine secretion	79
Leonard Best and John McLaughlin.....	79
Abstract.....	79
4.1 Introduction.....	79
4.2 Peptide hormone and neurotransmitter release: exocytosis.....	80
4.3 Theoretical considerations: How can nutrients affect the secretion of hormones and neurotransmitters?.....	80
4.4 Regulation of secretion by glucose	81
4.4.1 The pancreatic β -cells	82
4.4.2 The pancreatic α -cell.....	89
4.4.3 Enteroendocrine cells (EEC)	91
4.4.4 Glucose regulation of hypothalamic neuronal activity	93
4.5 Regulation of secretion by amino acids.....	95
4.5.1 Amino acids and pancreatic islet cells	95
4.5.2 Amino acids and enteroendocrine cells	97
4.6 Regulation of secretion by fatty acids	98
4.6.1 Pancreatic islet cells.....	98
4.6.2 CCK cells.....	99
4.6.3 Hypothalamic neurones	100

4.7 Concluding remarks	100
References	100
Abbreviations	110
5 Nutrient signaling through mammalian GCN2	113
Scot R. Kimball, Tracy G. Anthony, Douglas R. Cavener, and Leonard S. Jefferson.....	113
Abstract	113
5.1 Introduction	113
5.2 Mechanism of GCN2 activation	114
5.2.1 Gcn2p.....	114
5.2.2 mGCN2.....	115
5.3 The mGCN2 substrate, eIF2 α	117
5.4 mGCN2 interacting proteins: GCN1 and GCN20	121
5.5 Phosphorylation of eIF2 α promotes specific alterations in mRNA translation.....	122
5.6 Other mechanisms for activating GCN2	125
5.7 Summary	126
Acknowledgements	127
References	127
Abbreviations	129
6 Nutrient control of dimorphic growth in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	131
Toshiaki Harashima and Joseph Heitman.....	131
Abstract	131
6.1 Introduction	131
6.2 Signaling cascades controlling pseudohyphal growth in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	134
6.2.1 Diploid pseudohyphal differentiation in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	134
6.2.2 GPCR-G protein modules in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	134
6.2.3 The cAMP signaling pathway.....	141
6.2.4 Glucose uptake and glucose phosphorylation are required for cAMP production.....	144
6.2.5 The Ras-mediated signaling pathway	144
6.2.6 An ammonium sensor Mep2.....	148
6.3 Haploid invasive growth	149
6.4 GPCRs in <i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i>	152
6.5 Perspective	157
Acknowledgments	157
References	158
7 Regulation of the yeast general amino acid control pathway in response to nutrient stress	171
Ronald C. Wek, Kirk A. Staschke, and Jana Narasimhan	171
Abstract	171
7.1 Major themes in the general amino acid control pathway	171

7.2 Recognition of amino acid starvation and activation of Gcn2 protein kinase.....	172
7.3 Ribosome association of Gcn2p is required for activation in response to amino acid starvation	175
7.4 Phosphorylation of eIF2 induces <i>GCN4</i> translational expression	177
7.5 Multiple regulatory mechanisms induce Gcn4p levels in response to starvation for amino acids	180
7.6 Gcn4p mediates transcriptional activation by interfacing with the transcriptional machinery.....	182
7.7 Gcn4p coordinates expression of hundreds of genes in response to amino acid starvation	184
7.8 The general control pathway and yeast physiological strategies.....	188
7.9 Many different stress conditions activate Gcn2p eIF2 kinase activity.....	190
Acknowledgments.....	193
References.....	194
8 Tor-signaling and Tor-interacting proteins in yeast	201
Ted Powers, Ching-Yi Chen, Ivanka Dilova, Aaron Reinke, and Karen P. Wedaman.....	201
Abstract.....	201
8.1 Introduction.....	201
8.2 Scope of Tor signaling in yeast.....	202
8.3 <i>RTG</i> target gene control: convergence of retrograde and Tor signaling.....	204
8.3.1 Rtg2p and regulation of Rtg1p/Rtg3p nuclear import.....	205
8.3.2 Mks1p: a negative regulator of Rtg1p/Rtg3p activity.....	206
8.3.3 Architecture of the <i>RTG</i> branch of Tor signaling	207
8.4 Tor signaling and the role of distinct membrane associated Tor1p- and Tor2p-containing protein complexes.....	210
8.4.1 Molecular architecture of the Tor proteins	211
8.4.2 Evidence for protein-protein interactions with Tor: clues from higher eukaryotes.....	212
8.4.3 Tor protein complexes in yeast: composition and function	213
8.4.4 Lst8p as a component of both TORC1 and TORC2	214
8.4.5 Membrane localization of Tor protein complexes	216
8.5 Conclusions.....	218
Acknowledgement.....	218
References.....	218
9 Integrated regulation of the nitrogen-carbon interface	225
Terrance G. Cooper	225
9.1 Abstract.....	225
9.2 Introduction.....	225
9.3 Nitrogen catabolite repression.....	226
9.4 GATA-family transcription factors regulate NCR-sensitive transcription	226

9.4.1 Transcriptional activators, Gln3 and Gat1	226
9.4.2 Transcriptional repressors, Dal80 and Deh1	227
9.5 Physiological significance of competitive GATA-activator/repressor regulation.....	227
9.6 Genomic analysis of NCR-sensitive, GATA-factor-mediated transcription.....	229
9.7 Mechanism of nitrogen catabolite repression	229
9.7.1 Ure2-dependent regulation of Gln3 is responsible for NCR-sensitive transcription	229
9.7.2 NCR is achieved by regulated intracellular localization of Gln3	230
9.8 Rapamycin-induced NCR-sensitive gene expression	231
9.9 Gln3 structure and intracellular distribution.....	232
9.9.1 Gln3 functional domains.....	232
9.9.2 Nuclear transport of Gln3	233
9.9.3 Gln3 is not uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm.....	234
9.9.4 An intact actin cytoskeleton is required for nuclear accumulation of Gln3	234
9.10 Tor1/2 participation in the regulation of Gln3 localization	234
9.10.1 Gln3 phosphorylation.....	234
9.10.2 Gln3 dephosphorylation.....	236
9.11 Ure2 and Mks1 participation in Tor1/2-mediated regulation	237
9.11.1 Ure2 participation in the Tor1/2 regulatory pathway.....	237
9.11.2 Mks1 and its negative regulation of Ure2	238
9.12 Retrograde gene expression and its control.....	240
9.12.1 Small molecule to which retrograde grade gene expression responds	240
9.12.2 Ammonia controls GDH2 expression beyond its role in NCR ..	243
9.13 The Retrograde transcription regulatory elements, Rtg1/3 and Mks1	243
9.13.1 Rtg1 and Rtg3, a transcriptional activator.....	243
9.13.2 MKS1, a negative regulator	244
9.13.3 Rtg2, a positive regulator	244
9.14 Tor1/2 control of retrograde gene expression.....	245
9.14.1 Tor1/2 regulation is an indirect consequence of its effects on nitrogen metabolism	245
9.14.2 Strain variation is an important variable in the interpretation of retrograde expression data	246
9.14.3 The connection between Ure2 and Mks1	246
9.15 Nuclear localization of Gln3 during glucose-starvation	248
9.16 Rapamycin and Tor protein regulation of transporter protein stability	248
References	251

10 Glucose regulation of <i>HXT</i> gene expression in the yeast <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	259
Amber L. Mosley, Megan L. Sampley, and Sabire Özcan	259
Abstract	259
10.1 Introduction	259
10.2 The transcription factor Rgt1 is the ultimate target of the glucose induction pathway	261
10.3 Proteins that positively affect the glucose induction of <i>HXT</i> gene expression	263
10.3.1 The glucose transporter-like proteins Snf3 and Rgt2 are required for sensing of extracellular glucose	263
10.3.2 The ubiquitin ligase Grr1 inhibits the repressor function of Rgt1 when glucose is present.....	266
10.4 Proteins that negatively regulate the glucose induction of <i>HXT</i> gene expression	267
10.4.1 Std1 and Mth1 are required for repression of <i>HXT</i> gene expression by Rgt1	267
10.4.2 The Ssn6-Tup1 repressor complex is required for repression of <i>HXT</i> gene expression in the absence of glucose	270
10.5 Concluding remarks	270
Acknowledgements	271
References	271
11 Integration of nutrient signalling pathways in the yeast <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	277
Johnny Roosen, Christine Oesterhelt, Katrien Pardons, Erwin Swinnen, and Joris Winderickx	277
Abstract	277
11.1 Glucose-induced signalling	277
11.1.1 Main glucose repression pathway	278
11.1.2 The Ras/cAMP pathway	279
11.2 Nitrogen-, amino acid-, and phosphate-induced signalling	285
11.2.1 The role of Sch9 in nutrient-signalling	286
11.2.2 The role of Pho85 in nutrient-signalling	289
11.3 Integration of nutrient signals	292
11.3.1 Msn2-mediated transcriptional control	293
11.3.2 Pseudohyphal differentiation	294
11.3.3 Regulation of glycogen biosynthesis	296
11.4 Concluding Remarks	301
References	302
Abbreviations	318
Index	319

List of contributors

Anthony, Tracy G.

Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Mailcode H166, The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA 17033, USA

Best, Leonard

Department of Medicine, University of Manchester, Multipurpose Building, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road Manchester, M13 9WL, UK
lbest@man.ac.uk

Cavener, Douglas R.

Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Chen, Ching-Yi

Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Genetics and Development, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Cooper, Terrance G.

Department of Molecular Sciences, University of Tennessee, Memphis, Tennessee 38163, USA
tcooper@utmem.edu

Dilova, Ivanka

Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Genetics and Development, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Harashima, Toshiaki

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC 27710, USA

Heitman, Joseph

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC 27710, USA
heitm001@duke.edu

Hundal, Harinder S.

Division of Molecular Physiology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, MSI/WTB Complex, Dow Street, Dundee, DD1 5EH, UK

Jefferson, Leonard S.

Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Mailcode H166, The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA 17033, USA

Kilberg, Michael S.

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Box 100245, 1600 S.W. Archer Road, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32610-0245, USA
mkilberg@ufl.edu

Kimball, Scot R.

Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Mailcode H166, The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA 17033, USA
skimball@psu.edu

McClellan, Randall

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Box 100245, 1600 S.W. Archer Road, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32610-0245, USA

McLaughlin, John

Department of GI Sciences, University of Manchester, Multipurpose Building, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road Manchester, M13 9WL, UK

Mosley, Amber L.

Department of Molecular & Cellular Biochemistry, University of Kentucky, College of Medicine, 800 Rose Street, MN 608, Lexington, Kentucky 40536, USA

Narasimhan, Jana

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA

Oosterhelt, Christine

Functional Biology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium

Özcan, Sabire

Department of Molecular & Cellular Biochemistry, University of Kentucky, College of Medicine, 800 Rose Street, MN 608, Lexington, Kentucky 40536, USA
sozcan@uky.edu

Pan, Duojia

Department of Physiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
at Dallas, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390-9040, USA
Duoja.Pan@UTSouthwestern.edu

Pan, YuanXiang

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Box 100245, 1600 S.W.
Archer Road, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida
32610-0245, USA

Pardons, Katrien

Functional Biology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31,
B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium

Powers, Ted

Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Genetics and Develop-
ment, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis,
CA 95616, USA
tpowers@ucdavis.edu

Proud, Christopher G.

Division of Molecular Physiology, School of Life Sciences, University of
Dundee, MSI/WTB Complex, Dow Street, Dundee, DD1 5EH, UK

Reinke, Aaron

Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Genetics and Develop-
ment, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis,
CA 95616, USA

Roosen, Johnny

Functional Biology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31,
B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium

Sampley, Megan L.

Department of Molecular & Cellular Biochemistry, University of Kentucky,
College of Medicine, 800 Rose Street, MN 608, Lexington, Kentucky 40536,
USA

Staschke, Kirk A.

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University
School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA

Swinnen, Erwin

Functional Biology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31,
B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium

Taylor, Peter M.

Division of Molecular Physiology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, MSI/WTB Complex, Dow Street, Dundee, DD1 5EH, UK
p.m.taylor@dundee.ac.uk

Wedaman, Karen P.

Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Center for Genetics and Development, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Wek, Ronald C.

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA
rwek@iupui.edu

Winderickx, Joris

Functional Biology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium
joris.winderickx@bio.kuleuven.ac.be

Zhong, Can

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Box 100245, 1600 S.W. Archer Road, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32610-0245, USA

Introduction

Joris Winderickx and Peter M. Taylor

Cells of all living organisms are able to sense environmental stimuli and respond appropriately. Especially for unicellular organisms, the environment largely controls growth, metabolism, and differentiation. In higher multicellular organisms, most cells experience relative environmental homeostasis. However, growth and metabolism of cells within multicellular organisms require coordination between the cells in a tissue, an organ, and the whole organism. These cells communicate by cell-to-cell contact, gap-junctions, and integrins, or by using molecules such as hormones and growth factors, which allow cell-to-cell signalling.

For unicellular and multicellular organisms alike, nutrients provide the essential building blocks and energy supply to make the necessary cellular components and drive metabolism. Therefore, the availability of nutrients is essential to survive, proliferate, and be productive. Cells have developed mechanisms to sense nutrient availability and produce appropriate responses whereby nutrients are able to influence gene transcription and mRNA processing as well as translation and post-translational modifications. Such mechanisms may, in certain cases, involve direct or near-direct interactions between a nutrient and the regulatory sequences of specific genes involved in its metabolism. There are also reports of metabolite-binding domains in particular mRNA species (so-called “riboswitches”), which serve as metabolite-responsive genetic control elements. Nevertheless, many nutrients appear to affect cell and organismal function largely through intermediate nutrient-responsive signalling pathways. Such nutrient-dependent signalling allows for optimal nutrient consumption in a dynamic integrated manner and particularly in unicellular organisms it enables coordinated induction of a resting phase where the cells cease proliferation upon nutrient limitation, but rapidly resume the process once the conditions are more suitable.

In recent years, our understanding of nutrient sensing and the responses triggered by altered nutrient availability have greatly advanced. The emerging picture is that nutrient signalling mechanisms have evolved early in evolution and that the so-called nutrient-responsive signalling cascades used by microorganisms provide core elements of the more sophisticated regulatory pathways found in multicellular organisms, where hormonal controls have assumed increasingly greater importance. For example, many of the genes regulated by glucose alone in lower eukaryotes are additionally dependent upon the presence of insulin (and to some extent thyroid hormones) in higher eukaryotes. However, perhaps surprisingly, insulin was also found to trigger regulatory effects in microorganisms (Muller et al. 1998). The availability of genomic and proteomic data for an increasing number of eukaryotic species has highlighted the conservation of these basic pathways and, in many cases, conservation has been confirmed by functional complementation of several key proteins. The success of genome and proteome research has led to other exciting and new approaches dealing not only with top-down elucidation

of a single nutrient sensing pathway but with the more global investigation of nutrient signalling networks and the identification of converging effector branches that explain the dynamical but very coordinated nutritional response. Indeed, every step in a particular nutrient pathway represents a potential convergence point for yet another cascade, which may modulate or otherwise alter the final overall response. A nice example of this is the pseudohyphal growth pathway in yeast, which combines modules of the pheromone pathway and the Ras-cAMP cascade (Gancedo 2001).

Several nutritional factors have now been implicated as specific regulators of signal transduction and these include organic nutrients such as glucose, amino acids and fatty acids as well as inorganic compounds like carbon dioxide, ammonia, nitrates, and key micronutrients such as zinc, calcium, and phosphate ions. It has not always been possible to differentiate whether a nutritional stimulus acts really as a signal initiator or whether it merely triggers a local metabolic response. However, in a growing number of cases, specific receptors or transporters of a particular nutrient have been identified which appear to function as sensors. One of the best examples of this has been the discovery of two glucose transporters, i.e. Snf3 and Rgt2, that have been implicated in glucose sensing in yeast (Oscan et al. 1998) and, most recently, a similar function has been recognised for the human sodium/glucose cotransporter SGLT3 (Diez-Sampedro et al. 2003). Other nutrients may bind to GPCR-receptors such as Gpr1, a glucose receptor in yeast (Rolland et al. 2000) and GPR105/P2YX, the UDP-glucose receptor in mammals (Chambers et al. 2000).

Nevertheless, as might be expected there are also some important and fundamental differences in nutrient-induced responses between lower eukaryotes such as yeast and more complex organisms such as mammals. For example, glycogen is stored during glucose abundance in mammals, but in yeast it is only stored at the end of fermentation, before glucose becomes limiting. This is at least in part due to opposing end-point effects of the orthologous signalling pathways in the different organisms. Thus, the nutrient signal itself (in this case glucose availability) does not always induce the same (or even the equivalent) response, depending on the species studied, although the overall response in all cases is regarded as adaptive to the prevailing conditions and the specific biology of the species concerned. Another phylogenetic difference is the apparently unusual importance of free fatty acids as sensed molecules for metabolic regulation in animals. Lipids (fats and oils) are major sources and stores of fuel in higher animals but much less so in most plants and lower eukaryotes. A notable exception occurs during post-germinative growth of oilseeds, which is initially dependent on the breakdown of stored lipid reserves, which can be converted to sugar and other metabolites via the glyoxylate cycle (this is important for seedlings which have large lipid reserves but cannot yet photosynthesize). Recent studies have demonstrated inhibitory effects of sucrose on glyoxylate cycle activity (Borek et al. 2003). This represents an intriguing and important example of a nutrient-induced regulatory response in plants, although the mechanism is not yet known.

A common theme throughout the eukaryotic systems considered here is the link between nutrient availability and cellular energy status (notably as judged by the

absolute or relative concentrations of ATP and ADP/AMP). Reduction in cellular ATP levels is also an index of cellular stress and indeed nutritional deprivation *per se* may be considered as a stress. Such stresses are typically associated with activation of one or more “stress-related” signalling or endocrine pathways, which have substantial downstream effects on organismal function, typically involving shut-down of nonessential processes and the induction of the genetic and metabolic program directed towards the protection of the cell.

In this volume on nutritional responses, we brought together experts on nutrient signalling in yeast and animals. The different chapters give an overview of recent advances in the field to guide the reader in the complex but dynamic system of nutrient sensing. We hope that reader will appreciate the dedication of all the scientists whose research has been cited in the reviews presented. Without such expertise, this book would not have been possible.

References

- Borek S, Ratajczak W, Ratajczak L (2003) A transfer of carbon atoms from fatty acids to sugars and amino acids in yellow lupine (*Lupinus luteus L.*) seedlings. *J Plant Physiol* 160:539-545
- Chamber JK, Macdonald LE, Sarau HM, Ames RS, Freeman K, Foley JJ, Zhu Y, McLaughlin MM, McMillan L, Trill J, Swift A, Aiyar N, Taylor P, Vawter L, Naheed S, Szekeres P, Hervieu G, Scott C, Watson JM, Murphy AJ, Duzic E, Klein C, Bergsma DJ, Wilson S, Livi GP (2000) A G protein-coupled receptor for UDP-glucose. *J Biol Chem* 275:10767-10771
- Diez-Sampedro A, Hirayama BA, Osswald C, Gorboulev V, Baumgarten K, Volk C, Wright EM, Kopesell H (2003) A glucose sensor hiding in a family of transporters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:11753-11758
- Gancedo JM (2001) Control of pseudohyphae formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 25:107-123
- Muller G, Rouveyre N, Crecelius A, Bandlow W (1998) Insulin signaling in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. 1. Stimulation of glucose metabolism and Snf1 kinase by human insulin. *Biochemistry* 16:8683-8695
- Ozcan S, Dover J, Johnston M (1998) Glucose sensing and signaling by two glucose receptors in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J* 17:2566-2573
- Rolland F, de Winde H, Lemaire K, Boles E, Thevelein J, Winderickx J (2000) Glucose-induced cAMP signalling in yeast requires both a G-protein coupled receptor system for extracellular glucose detection and a separable hexose kinase-dependent sensing process. *Mol Microbiol* 38:348-358

1 Transcriptional regulatory mechanisms for the response to amino acid deprivation of mammalian cells

Michael S. Kilberg, Can Zhong, Randall McClellan, and YuanXiang Pan

Abstract

Dietary protein is critical to mammalian nutrition and on a cellular level this translates into amino acid availability. Cells monitor amino acids and respond with changes in cellular processes, including gene transcription. Thus, amino acids serve as signal molecules to transmit the nutritional status of the organism to individual cells. Using two target genes, CHOP and asparagine synthetase, this chapter will review the transcriptional control mechanisms triggered by amino acid limitation, a pathway named the amino acid response. The transcription factors, identified thus far, belong to two subfamilies, C/EBP and ATF, of the bZIP superfamily. There is much yet to learn about the signal pathways and the molecular mechanisms responsible for transcriptional regulation by nutrients. Beyond gaining a basic understanding of these biological control mechanisms, characterizing how these processes contribute to the pathology of various disease states represents an exciting aspect of molecular nutrition.

1.1 Introduction

Dietary protein is an important factor in the general nutrition of an entire organism, and on a cellular level this translates into amino acid availability. Although the amino acid content in the bloodstream and protein turnover both act to buffer variation in dietary protein/amino acid intake, fluctuations in the intracellular levels of individual amino acids do occur in response to diet, disease, and metabolic status. Obviously, the cellular metabolic stance must be altered in an attempt to adapt to these changes, and yet, how mammalian cells monitor amino acid levels and respond with changes in fundamental cellular processes is not completely understood. In this context, amino acids are serving as signal transduction messengers to transmit the nutritional status of the organism to individual cells. One of the target mechanisms of amino acid-dependent signaling is altered transcription for specific genes. This chapter will focus on the mechanisms associated with modulation of transcription triggered by amino acid limitation, a signaling pathway that will be referred to as the amino acid response (AAR). Detection of a limiting amount of any single amino acid has been linked to a ribosome-associated

Table 1. Example genes that exhibit increased mRNA content following amino acid limitation

Protein	References
Asparagine synthetase	(Gong et al. 1991; Kilberg and Barbosa-Tessmann 2002; Siu et al. 2002)
Amino Acid Transporters	
CAT1	(Hyatt et al. 1997)
SNAT2	(Bain et al. 2002; Gazzola et al. 2001)
CHOP	(Bruhat et al. 1997; Jousse et al. 1999; Marten et al. 1994)
C/EBP α	(Marten et al. 1994)
C/EBP β	(Marten et al. 1994)
IGFBP-1	(Jousse et al. 1998; Straus et al. 1993)
Ribosomal proteins	
L17	(Laine et al. 1991)
S25	(Laine et al. 1994)
L35	(Hitomi et al. 1993)
S13	(Hitomi et al. 1993)

kinase, GCN2, that binds and therefore, monitors the level of uncharged tRNAs (Hinnebusch 1997). Starvation-activated GCN2 kinase phosphorylates eIF2 α and then the ensuing changes in eIF2 α -mediated translation initiation favor increased synthesis of a specific transcription factor. In yeast, this transcription factor is GCN4 (Hinnebusch 1997), which has been reported to alter the transcription rate of up to 1000 genes (Natarajan et al. 2001). This translational detection mechanism has not been as extensively studied in mammalian cells, but a mammalian counterpart to yeast GCN2 has been identified (Berlanga et al. 1999; Sood et al. 2000). It appears from several studies that ATF4 (discussed in more detail below) may represent the mammalian counterpart to GCN4. The translation of pre-existing ATF4 mRNA is rapidly increased following amino acid deprivation (Harding et al. 2000), and ATF4 protein has been shown to mediate the increased transcription of AAR pathway target genes (Siu et al. 2002).

1.2 Examples of mammalian activities altered by amino acid availability

A wide range of enzymatic and transport activities, protein content, mRNA content, and transcription of specific genes have been reported to be regulated by amino acid availability both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Given current screening technologies using gene arrays, it is anticipated that many more mammalian genes will be identified for which transcription is regulated by amino acid availability. Table 1 presents a partial and ever-increasing representative list of genes for which the corresponding mRNA content is increased following amino acid deprivation of

mammalian cells. In some instances, the elevation in mRNA content is known to result from a change in transcription, but for several others, the mechanism remains to be established, and mRNA stabilization may contribute (Abcouwer et al. 1999; Gong et al. 1991). Marten et al. (1994) showed that *C/EBP α* and *C/EBP β* mRNA content was increased by amino acid deprivation of rat hepatoma cells. As discussed in more detail below, *C/EBP* family members are of particular interest given the participation of *C/EBP β* as one of the transcription factors that mediates induction of the human asparagine synthetase gene in response to activation of both the amino acid response (AAR) and the ER stress response (ERSR) nutrient sensing pathways (Siu et al. 2001). Also of note is the amino acid-dependent transcriptional regulation of the *C/EBP* homologous protein, CHOP (Bruhat et al. 1997; Fafournoux et al. 2000). Future characterization of amino acid-dependent changes in transcription factor expression, especially those (e.g. *C/EBP β* , ATF4, and ATF3) that appear to be an integral part of the AAR pathway signaling process, will provide valuable insight into the mechanisms of gene expression following amino acid limitation. Amino acid-dependent regulation of transcription factor synthesis and action is discussed more extensively below.

Although increased transcription of ribosomal protein genes in the face of amino acid limitation appears to be counter-intuitive, the mRNA content for several ribosomal proteins has been shown to be increased (Laine et al. 1994) and for ribosomal proteins L17 and S25 this increase has been demonstrated to be transcriptional in nature (Laine et al. 1994). Interestingly, the newly synthesized mRNA molecules for L17 and S25 are retained within the nucleus for the duration of the amino acid deprivation period, and only released into the cytoplasm for translation following amino acid re-feeding (Laine et al. 1994). Adilakshmi and Laine (2002) have demonstrated that p53 binds to the S25 mRNA in the nucleus and may be associated with this nuclear retention process. Further investigation into how this nuclear retention is controlled should provide mechanistic insight into an interesting and novel cellular process regulated by amino acids.

Amino acid-dependent regulation of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) has been reviewed by Bruhat et al. (1999). Among the amino acid-regulated genes identified to date, IGFBP-1 may be of particular importance because nutrient-dependent control of its expression is likely to have significant metabolic effects on a number of tissues and organs. IGFBP-1 may also serve as a prototype for nutrient feedback on metabolism-regulating hormones. A comprehensive examination of how amino acid availability may influence hormone and cytokine expression and/or action has not been undertaken, but such studies will greatly contribute to our understanding of the inter-organ effects that protein nutrition has on cell growth and metabolism. Beyond IGFBP-1, only a limited number of examples are known thus far. It has been demonstrated that histidine deprivation of murine pancreatic cells suppresses the synthesis of glucagon (Paul et al. 1998).

The substrate-dependent regulation of the sodium-dependent zwitterionic amino acid transporter System A activity has been investigated for three decades (Gazzola et al. 1972), and the subject has been reviewed periodically during this period of time (Kilberg et al. 1993; Palacín et al. 1998). The more recent identifi-